



Cllr Goodwin (Chairman)

Orton With Hampton: Cllr North, Cllr Scott OBE & Cllr Seaton

Orton Longueville: Cllr Goodwin, Cllr Murphy & Cllr Winslade

Orton Waterville: Cllr Allen, Cllr Elsey & Cllr Stokes

ORTONS WITH HAMPTON NEIGHBOURHOOD COUNCIL (NEIGHBOURHOOD AREA SOUTH 2)

DRAFT MINUTES OF MEETING HELD 23 SEPTEMBER 2010, 7.00 p.m.

Members Present:

Councillors Allen, Elsey, Goodwin (Chairman), North, Scott, Seaton, Stokes and Winslade

Officers Present:

Cate Harding	Neighbourhood Manager, PCC
Stephen Emeny	Democratic Services, PCC
Rebecca Cook	Neighbourhood Management, PCC
Gavin Wade	Neighbourhood Management, PCC
Peter Heath-Brown	Planning Policy Manager, PCC

Others Present:

Vijay Patel	Chair, Hampton Parish Council
Michael Chambers	Orton Waterville Parish Council
Krissie Moore	Youth Worker, PCC
Mandy Ward	Communications Officer, PCC

29 people attended the meeting of which 18 were local residents and 11 represented local residents groups, local organisations and service providers.

ITEM	DISCUSSION AND ACTIONS	ACTION
1. Apologies for Absence	No apologies were received from Members.	
2. Declarations of Interest	There were no declarations of interest.	
3. Minutes from the Previous Meeting	The minutes of the meeting held on 29 June 2010 were agreed as an accurate record.	
Question and Answer Session Regarding the Exhibitions on Display at the Meeting	<p>The following responses were provided to questions from attendees regarding the adoption of roads in Hampton by Peterborough City Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The adoption schedule was valid up to 21 September 2010 and updates will be ongoing. Enquiries will be made to establish if maps showing roads adopted could also be 	

	<p>published on the Council website;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Once any errors within the adoption reports had been corrected, reports could be placed on the PCC website and included in community publications; • The adoption map highlighted the number of developers of the Hampton site and until adoption by PCC, the developers remained responsible for maintenance of street lights, footpaths and roads, etc; • A draft agreement had been sent to the solicitors acting on behalf of the developers regarding the building of the pedestrian crossing near Loch Fyne Close. It is envisaged that the developers will accept this draft and construction of the crossing will commence in the near future. If agreement is not made PCC Planning Department will enforce construction. 	
<p>4. Proposed Designated Public Prohibition Order in Orton Waterville</p>	<p>Christine Graham, Safer Peterborough Partnership Manager, explained and presented how the Designated Public Prohibition Order (DPPO) would work and the area covered by the order when introduced. The main points of the DPPO were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The DPPO is not an area alcohol ban; • The DPPO is a tool used by the Police to arrest anyone consuming alcohol in the area in question, when previously asked to stop; • A DPPO would specifically target an area with alcohol problems; • Feedback from the consultation period would be recommended to Members at the Full Council meeting where this item would be discussed; • After agreement, there would be a one month notice period before any Police enforcement; • Future DPPOs would be delegated to Neighbourhood Council level; <p>The process for obtaining the DPPO would be as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consultation period finishes 20th October 2010; • Application to go before relevant Scrutiny Committee; • Application to go to Full Council meeting in November 2010; • If approved at Full Council, DPPO will be active one month later; <p>The following responses were provided to questions raised by attendees:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The issues regarding the size of area of Orton Waterville covered by the DPPO had been highlighted by Insp Matt Snow who was aware that drinking and anti social behaviour also occurred in the immediate surrounding areas. As part of the consultation process, Orton Waterville Parish Council would be asked for their views on the proposed area; • There are no requirements within the current law for the size of the area covered by a DPPO; applicants must demonstrate that alcohol related problems occur in the area concerned. A blanket city wide DPPO would not be granted as this does not fall within the spirit of the law; 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In future powers may be delegated to Neighbourhood Council level so that extending the area covered can be made via Neighbourhood Councils. It is important that residents, local groups and Parish Councils work closely with the policing teams so that action can be taken quickly should the area covered by a DPPO need to be extended; • Peterborough City Council must work within the boundaries of the current law, if anyone had any further concerns regarding current laws in relation to DPPO, they would need to speak with their MP; • Whilst young people may be the cause of problems in a particular area, it is important to note that young people are not responsible for all alcohol related anti social behaviour in the city. Statistics showed that there was only one Anti Social Behaviour Order for someone under eighteen. A DPPO should not be thought of as an 'alcohol ban' for an area; • Any problems relating to anti social behaviour by groups of youths, that are not alcohol related, may be helped by applying for a Dispersal Order; 	
<p>5. Site Allocations Document</p>	<p>Peter Heath-Brown, Planning Policy Manager PCC, explained that the Site Allocations Document was made up of a series of planning documents and detailed the developments over the next fifteen years. The purpose of the document was to identify land suitable for housing, employment or other forms of development. Planning permission would still need to be sought and areas identified for development would appear on local searches when purchasing a new house.</p> <p>The document had not yet reached the formal public consultation stage, but was being exhibited at all Neighbourhood Councils during September in order to gather comments before going to Full Council Meeting in December, where the feedback from Neighbourhood Councils would be given. The document would then go to the Secretary of State for consideration and public consultation.</p> <p>The following responses were provided to questions raised by attendees:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The figure for the number of houses to be built was derived from the overall scale of growth for the area, natural domestic migration, large number of teenagers in area who will eventually need housing; • Members of the Planning Team along with Councillors are spending a lot of time with developers so that the lessons learned from Hampton regarding community facilities would be taken forward for the Great Haddon project; • The Site Allocations Document was not just about houses, it also included projects for employment for the new residents; <p>The following comments and feedback regarding the document were noted and would be considered in future stages of the consultation process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PCC must ensure that leisure and community facilities are 	

	<p>built to compliment any new large and existing developments, such as Great Haddon;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Road and transport networks must be in place to support new developments; • Can any contracts with developers have a clause whereby the building of community facilities will be completed before residential/employment building; • Any new developments must have sufficient space for car parking and storage for multiple waste and recycling bins; <p>Attendees were advised to write any further comments on the feedback form attached to the agenda, and pass to Council Officers at the end of the meeting.</p>	
6. Census 2011	<p>The Neighbourhood Manager gave a brief presentation on the Census and why it was important for every member of the community to complete the Census forms. Local residents were being encouraged to help with the Census and it was hoped that hard to reach groups in the community would complete the census also.</p> <p>For anyone interested in applying for one of the census posts, Leonie McCarthy at Peterborough City Council would be overseeing the recruitment process.</p>	
7. Video Presentation by Students from Hampton College	<p>Students from Hampton College School Council provided a video presentation to the meeting, comparing the facilities for children and young people in other parts of Peterborough and Cambridgeshire to the current facilities provided in Hampton.</p> <p>The presentation reinforced that if young people in Hampton had similar facilities to use in their leisure time, this could help reduce some of the issues previously discussed at the meeting regarding alcohol consumption and anti social behaviour. The presentation also highlighted that land originally set aside for a Youth/Community Centre in Hampton had now been developed on with residential housing.</p> <p>A possible solution to the current lack of facilities would be the NACRO (National Association for Care and Resettlement of Offenders) Street Bus which would appeal to children and young people in the area and provided Playstation 3 equipment, access to internet, and information, etc.</p> <p>The cost of the bus would be £5000.00 per year, and a bid was put forward but was only successful in gaining £3000.00 of funding towards this project.</p> <p>The Chair thanked the school council for their excellent presentation to the meeting and advised that the next agenda item would be looking at some the issues they had raised.</p>	
8. Budgets and Finances	<p>The Neighbourhood Manager distributed a document to all attendees at the meeting, detailing the budget given to the area through the Neighbourhood Council and the proposed spending areas that the council may want to invest this money towards.</p>	

	<p>After group discussions the Neighbourhood Council Members voted on each proposed area for expenditure as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No Fly Tipping And Dog Fouling Signs: FOR 4, Against 3; • Contribution Towards Noise Monitoring Equipment: FOR 6, Against 1; • Investment Towards Improvements In Parks/Open Spaces: FOR 6, Against 1; • Purchase Of Pool Equipment For Community Use: FOR 6, Against 1; • Improvements For Public Footpaths: FOR 5, Against 2; • Provision For Young People: FOR 7, Against 0; • Purchase Smartwater Kits: FOR 4, Against 3; • Purchase Community Noticeboards: FOR 5, Against 2; <p>ACTION: The Neighbourhood Manager would initiate actions relating to budget expenditure as indicated above.</p>	CH / LE
9. Open Session	<p>Attendees were given the opportunity to ask questions and raise issues affecting the area they lived in. These included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed site for Hampton Vale Youth Centre would be in an area surrounded by properties and close to a large car park. Potential for noise for residents living nearby, would the Neighbourhood Council look to relocate the centre? <p>ACTION: The Neighbourhood Manager would take this forward for consideration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Herlington Centre, Orton Malborne, has a persistent litter problem and the area requires more litter bins, designed so the litter cannot blow out. <p>ACTION: Take forward and consider increasing the number of litter bins at the Herlington Centre, and their design.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are there plans to increase the number of parking spaces in Oakwood Drive where parking was becoming an issue for residents? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cllr Seaton confirmed that the Forward Plan for Peterborough City Council may look at affordable options to ease this issue. • Dog bins in Orton Brimbles are often overflowing and vandalised or stolen. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Gavin Wade, Neighbourhood Management Team PCC, confirmed he would address this issue. 	CH / LE Cllr Goodwin
10. Next Meeting	The date of the next meeting would be Thursday 16 th December 2010 at the Herlington Community Centre	

Meeting closed 9.10 p.m.